

NEW HAMPSHIRE GAS CORPORATION

Direct Testimony of Jennifer Boucher

1 **Q. Please state your name, employer and business address.**

2 A. My name is Jennifer Boucher. I am employed by The Berkshire Gas Company
3 ("Berkshire") and my business address is 115 Cheshire Rd., Pittsfield, MA 01201.

4
5 **Q. What is your position?**

6 A. I am the Manager of Regulatory Economics for Berkshire.
7

8 **Q. Could you please briefly describe your educational and professional
9 background?**

10 A. Yes. I graduated from the Massachusetts College of Liberal Arts in 1994 with a
11 Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration and from Western New
12 England College in 1999 with a Masters of Business Administration. I joined
13 Berkshire in 1997 and have held several positions including Planning Analyst,
14 Administrator of Rates and Planning and Supervisor of Rates and Planning. I was
15 promoted to the Manager of Regulatory Economics in March 2006.
16

17 **Q. Please summarize your responsibilities.**

18 A. As the Manager of Regulatory Economics, my primary responsibility is to prepare
19 all of the external rate filings and reports to state regulatory agencies, including all
20 semi-annual and out-of-period factor filings, monthly reports and annual
21 reconciliations as related to the Cost of Gas Adjustment Clause ("CGAC") and
22 Local Distribution Adjustment Clause ("LDAC"). I also manage retail service
23 contracts with large customers and provide analysis on tariffs and pricing issues,
24 as well as operating revenue forecasts for the Company's annual operating
25 budget. Additionally, I am responsible for the oversight of gas supply, including
26 planning and dispatch to secure a reliable and least cost gas supply for the benefit
27 of customers. I also oversee the activities between the Company and third-party

1 marketers. Finally, I assist New Hampshire Gas Corporation (“NHGC” or the
2 “Company”) with its regulatory filings.
3

4 **Q. Have you testified as a witness in any other proceedings involving either**
5 **company?**

6 A. I have experience as a witness in Massachusetts testifying before the
7 Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities (“MDPU”). I testified as a witness
8 in Berkshire’s last base rate case (D.T.E. 01-56), in its most recent Forecast and
9 Supply Plan (D.T.E. 05-07) and for approval of a gas supply contract with Coral
10 Energy (D.T.E. 06-27). I testified before the New Hampshire Public Utilities
11 Commission on several occasions with regards to the seasonal Cost of Gas
12 (“COG”) filings.
13

14 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?**

15 A. The purpose of my testimony is to explain the calculation of the Cost of Gas
16 Adjustment (“COG”) to be billed from November 1, 2008 to April 30, 2009. My
17 testimony will also address the status of the collection of rate case costs and other
18 issues related to the winter period.
19

20 **COST OF GAS ADJUSTMENT**
21

22 **Q. Please explain the calculation of the Cost of Gas (“COG”) Rate on the**
23 **proposed 35th revised Tariff Page 24.**

24 A. The proposed 35th revised Tariff Page 24 contains the calculation of the 2008 –
25 2009 Winter COG rate and summarizes the Company's forecast of propane
26 sendout and propane costs. The estimated total cost of the forecasted propane
27 sendout from November 1, 2008 through April 30, 2009 is \$2,324,777. The
28 information presented on the tariff page is supported by Attachments A through E
29 which will be described later in this testimony.
30

31 To derive the Total Anticipated Period Costs, the following adjustments have

1 been made:

2 1) The prior period under-collection of \$24,960 is added to the
3 forecasted propane costs. This calculation of the over-
4 collection is demonstrated on Attachment D.

5

6 2) Interest of \$5,156 is added to the forecasted propane costs.
7 Attachment C shows this forecasted interest calculation for the
8 period May 2008 through April 2009. The interest calculation
9 is based on the Wall Street Journal's posted prime rate.

10

11 The Non-FPO rate of \$2.2208 per therm is forecasted by taking the Total
12 Anticipated Period Costs of \$2,324,777 divided by the forecasted firm sales of
13 1,046,803 therms. The unit cost of gas sold for the Fixed Price Option Program
14 ("FPO") rate of \$2.2408 per therm is determined by adding a \$0.02 premium to
15 the Non-FPO cost of gas.

16

17 **Q. Please describe Attachment A.**

18 A. This attachment converts the produced gas costs to therms. The 1,134,059 therms
19 represent propane sendout as detailed on Attachment B and the \$2.0251 per therm
20 cost represents the average cost per therm for the winter season as detailed on line
21 72 of Attachment E.

22

23 **Q. Please describe Attachment B.**

24 A. Attachment B represents the combined (over)/under collection calculation for the
25 2008 – 2009 winter period based on the anticipated volumes, the cost of gas, and
26 any applicable interest charges. As shown on line 5, total sendout is the weather
27 normalized 2007-2008 winter period firm sendout, Company Use and anticipated
28 new load. Firm sales volumes shown on line 22 are derived from the weather
29 normalized 2007-2008 winter period firm sales plus expected incremental new
30 growth. On line 16, the Company has also included the anticipated \$0.02 per therm
31 FPO premium revenues as a credit to propane costs.

1
2
3 **Q. Are unaccounted-for gas volumes included in the filing?**

4 A. Unaccounted-for gas volumes are included in the firm sendout volumes on line 1
5 and are displayed on line 7 of Attachment B. The Company continues to
6 implement measures to improve losses on its system and is pleased to report that as
7 of June 30, 2008, the 12 month-to-date unaccounted-for percentage was 3.81% as
8 compared to 3.53% in 2006-2007 and 6.44% in 2005-2006.
9

10 **Q. How is Attachment C represented in the COG calculation?**

11 A. Attachment C represents the COG interest calculation through April 2009. This is
12 calculated utilizing the prior period over-collection plus interest, and amounts to
13 \$5,156.
14

15 **Q. What is Attachment D?**

16 A. Attachment D is the actual (over)/under collection balance for the prior period
17 November 2007 through April 2008, including interest. The ending balance of
18 \$24,960 is included on line 1, column 1, of Attachment C. The Company attributes
19 this level of under-collection to higher than expected propane commodity costs
20 coupled with lower than expected billing sales in April 2008.
21

22 **Q. Please describe Attachment E.**

23 A. Attachment E projects the cost of propane in inventory through April 2009. This
24 attachment is important as the cost of propane sold includes pre-purchased propane,
25 spot market propane as well as propane withdrawn from storage.
26

27 **FPO AND NON-FPO CUSTOMER PROGRAMS**
28

29 **Q. Will NHGC offer a FPO program for the winter 2008-2009 COG period?**

30 A. Yes. NHGC will again offer the FPO program for the Winter 2008-2009 COG
31 period. This program allows customers to lock in their cost of gas and enrollment

1 in the program will be limited to 50% of the expected winter usage with
2 allotments made available for both commercial and residential customer classes.
3 Customers will be accepted into the program on a first-come, first-served basis.
4

5 **Q. Will there be a premium applied to the FPO cost of gas rate?**

6 A. Yes. As approved in Order No. 24,516, Docket DG 05-144, the Company has
7 applied a \$0.02 per therm premium to the COG rate to derive the FPO COG rate.
8 Based on average participation levels over the past 5 years, the Company expects
9 FPO volumes of approximately 300,000 therms.
10

11 **Q. Please describe the pre-purchased propane.**

12 A. The Company has again implemented its Propane Purchasing Stabilization Plan
13 (the "Plan") as approved in Order No. 24,617, docketed as DG 06-037.
14 Attachment B-2 provides a synopsis of the prices and gallons of propane
15 purchased with respect to the Plan. The weighted average price of the 700,000
16 gallons procured under the Plan is \$1.934 per gallon, or \$2.114 per therm. This
17 price can be seen on line 5 of Attachment B-1, and includes commodity, PERC
18 and transportation costs. The dates that the gallons were purchased is also listed
19 on Attachment B-2. After conferring with Staff regarding the September pre-
20 purchase volumes, the Company elected to make its final purchase on August 29,
21 2008 due to Hurricane Gustav. The Company was concerned with the high level
22 of recent hurricane activity, and the resulting volatility in commodity markets.
23

24 **Q. How were spot market prices determined?**

25 A. The spot market costs per gallon of propane shown on line 13 of Attachment B-1
26 are the New York Mercantile Exchange futures settlement prices as of September
27 12, 2008, plus brokers', pipeline and transportation fees.
28

29 **Q. How will NHGC customers be notified of the availability of the FPO**
30 **program?**

1 A. In a letter to customers to be mailed in late September 2008, NHGC customers
2 will be advised of the program and how they may participate in it.
3

4 **Q. How will the winter 2008-2009 Cost of Gas Rate (“CGR”) for residential**
5 **heating customers participating in the FPO program affect the average New**
6 **Hampshire Gas Corporation customer?**

7 A. The winter 2008-2009 CGR of \$2.2408 for customers participating in the FPO
8 program is an increase of \$0.7196 per therm from the winter 2006-2007 FPO
9 CGR of \$1.5212. To the average residential heat customer, this would be a
10 \$685.90 increase for the 2008-2009 winter COG period for the gas cost
11 component of their bill only, or a 47.3% increase. If the Monthly Customer
12 Charge and per therm Delivery Rates (including the Deferred Revenues
13 Surcharge) are factored into the analysis, the average residential heat customer
14 will see a \$691.77 increase in their total costs for the 2008-2009 winter COG
15 period, or a 30.2% increase.
16

17 **Q. How will the winter 2008-2009 CGR for customers not participating in the**
18 **FPO program affect the average New Hampshire Gas Corporation**
19 **customer?**

20 A. The Winter 2008-2009 CGR of \$2.2208 for customers not participating in the
21 FPO program is an increase of \$0.4562 per therm from the average winter 2007-
22 2008 CGR of \$1.7646. To the average residential heat customer, this would be a
23 \$434.89 increase for the 2008-2009 winter COG period for the gas cost
24 component of their bill only, or a 25.9% increase. If the Monthly Customer
25 Charge and per therm Delivery Rates (including the Deferred Revenues
26 Surcharge) are factored into the analysis, the customer will see a \$440.76 increase
27 in their total costs for the 2008-2009 winter COG period, or an 17.5% increase.
28

29 **Q. What is the primary reason for the increase in the FPO per therm winter**
30 **COG?**

1 A. The primary reason for the increase is higher market prices of propane versus the
2 winter 2007-2008 period.

3

4 **Q. What is the primary reason for the increase in the Non-FPO per therm**
5 **winter COG?**

6 A. The primary reason for the increase is higher market prices of propane versus the
7 winter 2007-2008 period.

8

9 **Q. Please describe Supplemental Schedule E.**

10 A. Supplemental Schedule E provides a billing comparison between a typical FPO
11 customer and a non-FPO customer. For the Winter 2007-2008 period, a typical
12 FPO customer's winter billing amounted to approximately (\$232) less than a non-
13 FPO customer's winter billing. This is due to the fact that commodity prices
14 increased during the winter period.

15

16 **Q. Has there been any impact on pipeline or trucking fees on NHGC's cost of**
17 **gas?**

18 A. At the end of the 2007-2008 winter season, pipeline and trucking fees were
19 \$0.0858 per gallon and \$0.0558 per gallon "base rate" respectively. The
20 forecasted pipeline fee is \$0.0915 per gallon, an increase of 6.64%, and the
21 forecasted trucking fee increased 3.05% to \$0.0575 per gallon (exclusive of the
22 fuel surcharge).

23

24 **Q. Does Northern Gas Transport ("NGT") impose a fuel surcharge to their**
25 **trucking rates?**

26 A. Yes. At the end of the 2007-2008 Winter COG period, NGT charged a "fuel
27 surcharge" rate of 34.5% from Selkirk, New York. NGT's current "fuel
28 surcharge" is 35.5%. The surcharge is calculated using the weekly average diesel
29 gasoline prices, and contributes to the increased trucking fees.

30

MISCELLANEOUS

Q. Will the Company meet its 7-day onsite storage requirements pursuant to PUC 506.03?

A. Yes. As discussed in a letter submitted to the Commission on March 22, 2004, the Company is meeting its 7-day onsite storage requirements through an arrangement with Northern Gas Transport, Inc. and The Berkshire Gas Company. The storage facilities provided by The Berkshire Gas Company are located in Greenfield, Massachusetts.

Q. Are there other adjustments to rates for the winter 2008-2009 Cost of Gas period that should be considered?

A. Yes. Throughout the period leading up to the implementation of maximum delivery rates, Order 24,102 authorized the Company to defer on its books the monthly difference between the amount of the actual rates being charged to customers and the amount that would have been charged to customers under the maximum rates. These "Deferred Revenues" amounted to \$192,417.69. The 36-month collection period for these Deferred Revenues commenced May 1, 2006 as approved in Order 24,617. Supplemental Schedule D provides the calculation of this surcharge, which amounts to \$0.0532 per therm for the winter 2008-2009 COG period.

Q. Is the Company requesting a waiver of N.H. Code Admin. Rule Puc 1203.05 which requires rate changes to be implemented on a service-rendered basis?

A. Yes, the Company is requesting a waiver of N.H. Code Admin. Rule Puc 1203.05 as was granted in previous COG and delivery rate proceedings. First, NHGC customers are accustomed to rate change on a bills-rendered basis and a change in policy may result in customer confusion. In addition, the Company's current billing system is not designed to accommodate changes to billing on a service-rendered basis and such a change would necessitate modifying or replacing the billing system at a substantial cost to NHGC.

1

2 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

3 A. Yes, it does.

4